



#26  
2-26-02

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO: A95001C2


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: McKain et al.  
Serial No: 08/932,784  
Filed: September 18, 1997  
For: MOTION PICTURE RECORDING DEVICE USING DIGITAL, COMPUTER-READABLE NON-LINEAR MEDIA  
Examiner: Huy Nguyen  
Art Unit: 2615

---

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on January 4, 2002.

  
Peter J. Gordon, Reg. No. 35,164

---

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

FEB 05 2002

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of July 5, 2001, the Applicant submits this reply.

REMARKS

In view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks, reconsideration is requested. Claims 1-2 and 4-44 remain in the application of which claims 1, 9 and 23 are independent.

Claims 1-2 and 4-44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 on two grounds. The first ground is based on U.S. Patent 5,488,433 ("Washino," originally cited in the Office Action of September 28, 1999) in view of U.S. Patent 5,579,239 ("Freeman") and in view of Japanese patent publication JP-405153448 ("Osamu"). The second ground is based on U.S. Patent 5,946,445 ("Peters") in view of U.S. Patent 5,109,482 ("Bohrman") in view of Osamu. Both of these grounds are similar, because the Examiner relies on Washino and Peters to teach combining a camera with a video recorder in a portable housing, Freeman and Bohrman to teach editing a